

The Current Status of Seizure Duration in the Practice of Electroconvulsive Therapy

Fiore R Lalla, MD, CM¹, Thomas Milroy, MD, FRCP²

Objective: To critically review data relating the seizure duration in electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) to its therapeutic effect in the treatment of depressive illness.

Method: The authors used MEDLINE, PSYCHINFO on CDROM, and their own knowledge of the literature to find studies or reviews concerning the role of seizure duration in ECT efficacy.

Results: Rigorous studies cast doubt on the usefulness of seizure duration as a clinical marker. Some medications that decrease seizure time have deleterious treatment effects but also affect other seizure dynamics. Several medications dramatically shorten seizures but have no influence on treatment efficacy.

Conclusion: The guidelines of ECT seizure length are arbitrary, suggesting exaggerated durations for ECT treatment.

(Can J Psychiatry 1996;41:299–304)

Key Words: electroconvulsive therapy, seizure duration, efficacy, medication, anesthesia, lidocaine, caffeine, benzodiazepines

Perhaps no frequently used somatic therapy in psychiatry sparks as much debate and controversy as electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) (1). The psychiatric research community has made formidable strides in proving ECT to be an indispensable treatment without permanent neurological effects (2). There is, however, considerable debate on how to administer ECT most effectively and what constitutes its mode of action (3–9).

An early clinical controversy regarding ECT concerned which features of the treatment made for an adequate seizure, one that would aid a patient quickly and with the fewest applications (10–16). Cerletti (14) himself did not believe that the duration of motor manifestations was an efficacy marker. In fact, Androp (15) and Lowenbach (16) were the first to detect suppressed postictal electroencephalography (EEG) voltages consistent with therapeutic benefit.

The monitoring of seizure duration remains an important part of ECT practice. Modern ECT stimulators incorporate EEG devices that measure and record seizure length. Current

clinical studies, however, challenge the status of seizure duration as a therapeutic parameter and question guidelines for when seizures should be medically terminated (3–9,17). Using the evidence available, we evaluate the appropriateness of current guidelines and comment on future directions in ECT research.

Method

Review of the Literature

Our review covered the literature concerning the role of seizure duration in ECT efficacy over the last 50 years. There are several pieces of evidence suggesting that seizure duration is related to ECT efficacy. First, seizure duration is an easily determined parameter, and clinicians are inclined to believe that a readily measurable feature of the treatment can help explain its therapeutic effect (17). Second, motor seizures of less than 15 seconds in duration do not exhibit tonic-clonic phases and are ineffective in treatment (17,18). This finding has been misinterpreted to imply that more is better and that a longer seizure is more therapeutic. Medications given during ECT that decrease seizure duration also slow or complicate a patient's improvement; more sessions or larger electrical stimuli are needed in such cases (19–22). Third, a number of retrospective and prospective studies of the last 2 decades have found a correlation between total seizure duration during a course of treatment and patient response (23,24).

Manuscript received September 1995, revised February 1996.

¹Chief Psychiatric Resident, Jewish General Hospital, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec.

²Director of Psychiatry Inpatient Services, Montreal General Hospital, Montreal, Quebec.

Address reprint requests to: Dr Fiore R Lalla, Institute of Community and Family Psychiatry, 4333 Cote Ste-Catherine, Montreal, Quebec, H3T 1E4

| Table I | |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Studies and Reviews Concerning ECT Seizure Duration and Treatment Efficacy | |
| Studies and Reviews | Reference |
| Clinical studies relating duration to improvement | 23, 24, 34 |
| ECT technique studies | |
| Bilateral stimulation | 25, 29 – 31, 35, 36, 38, 39, 41, 58 |
| Multiple ECT (MECT) | 26, 27, 54 |
| Stimulus intensity | 40, 41, 51 |
| EEG studies | |
| Postictal voltage suppression | 42 – 44, 54, 58 |
| Seizure charge | 42, 43, 53 |
| Endocrine studies | |
| Oxytocin | 49 |
| Prolactin | 45, 47, 48, 60 |
| Medication and seizure duration | |
| Benzodiazepines | 19 – 22, 63, 64, 68 |
| Caffeine | 70, 72, 73 |

A number of technique, electroencephalograph, endocrine, and medication factors correlate with the therapeutic adequacy of ECT (18–23, 25–49). These have been systematically studied and compared to seizure duration as predictors of efficacy. We will review the scientific data on each category of findings (Table I).

Clinical Studies: Seizure Duration and Improvement in Hospitalized Patients

The few clinical studies that document a correlation between ECT efficacy and seizure duration have suffered from design difficulties (23,24). Maletzky's retrospective study of ward patients (23), for example, found that positive clinical outcome from depressive symptoms correlated with accumulated seizure time in the course of therapy. Stimulus intensity, diagnosis, and concurrent medication parameters, however, were not adequately considered (17,52,53), and the study was neither randomized nor well controlled.

The only relevant study we found supporting a correlation was by Zorumski and others (24). His group found that 88% of patients with a cumulative seizure time of 300 seconds or greater had a favourable response. Their data were collected retrospectively and prospectively in the setting of a university hospital. The feature common to all patients was ECT treatment for depressive symptoms, regardless of primary diagnosis. The authors noted significant difficulties in the study, including the variable number of ECT sessions received, a confounding effect of medication, and the unilateral versus bilateral treatment of different patients.

In a prospective study, Miller and colleagues (34) followed a sample of depressed patients receiving ECT and found that seizure durations did not correlate with Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS) scores after treatment. The group did, however, find that significant nonverbal memory deficits correlated with seizure duration.

To demonstrate that seizure duration is a variable correlated with efficacy, one would have to show that patients with longer seizures require fewer ECTs. Weiner and Coffey (41) and Sackeim and others (40,50,51) have found no such correlation in studies using HDRS scores for a sizeable number of patients. While short seizures may signal that a medical condition or drug is interfering with the ECT process, it should be noted that shortening seizure times is a normal consequence of a progressing course of treatment, a result of ECT's anticonvulsant effect (52).

In summary, well-designed clinical studies with depressed patients do not generally show a correlation between total seizure time and ECT efficacy.

ECT Practice Factors and Seizure Duration

Bilateral Stimulation. Sackeim's insightful review of the literature (17) has outlined the technical parameters that make ECT successful. He found that it is the degree to which the electrical stimulus exceeds seizure threshold and not the absolute dose that determines clinical outcome, especially in unilateral models. His rigorous studies (38–40,50,51) showed that right unilateral (RUL) treatment at low or high dose can produce seizures of equivalent duration to bilateral (BL) treatment. With low levels of electrical stimulation, RUL patients showed only a 17% improvement in HDRS score versus 70% in the BL group, despite the same mean seizure duration in each group (39). RUL treatment outcome can be ameliorated by increasing stimulus intensity (38,40,41).

Multiple ECT. In an attempt to achieve longer cumulative seizure durations for a given course of therapy, investigators have given multiple ECT stimuli (MECT) in the same session. Total seizure time for MECT patients correlates with clinical improvement in depression (26,27). Nevertheless, treatment studies have not disentangled the benefits of increased seizure time from the increased number of stimuli administered to achieve it (17,46,53,54). Further, second or third seizures evoked in the same session do not generalize well and are associated with medical and cognitive side effects (54).

Increasing Stimulus to Increase Duration. While the degree to which electrical stimulus exceeds the seizure threshold has proved to be an important parameter in predicting clinical response, the length of the seizure has not generally done so (40,52,53). The practice of maintaining an adequate seizure duration is a complicated treatment issue. For example, an adequate ECT stimulus in the elderly is high as compared with other age groups and predisposes to

cognitive side effects (5,35,36,55). Despite clinical improvement, seizure duration shortens over time, and the tendency is for clinicians to increase the stimulus to maintain duration, which increases the likelihood of complications (9,17,40,55,56).

In summary, while increasing the electrical stimulus or inducing multiple seizures within the same treatment session may increase duration and help efficacy, there is little evidence that the former is responsible for the latter.

EEG Findings

Voltage Suppression Studies. Postictal voltage suppression refers to the decrease in resting EEG voltage after seizure activity as compared with baseline. Well-generalized seizures invoke voltage-suppressing neural mechanisms intended to terminate and prevent further seizure activity. This suppression is seen as a lower baseline on the EEG postictus (5,9,57). Studies have found that the degree of suppression correlates with seizure generalization (57), therapeutic adequacy (10), and bilateral stimulation (44,46,58). Nobler and Sackeim (59) studied the effects of bilaterality and stimulus intensity on EEG changes and seizure duration. While bioelectric suppression correlated with ECT efficacy, seizure duration had no bearing on type of administration or patient recovery.

EEG Waveform Features. Greater ictal EEG amplitude, intensity, and symmetry obtained with bilateral ECT are not more common with longer seizures, but they are related to antidepressant outcome (10,59). Krystal and colleagues (43,58) have found that the immediate poststimulus and midictal EEG amplitudes correlated well with seizure therapeutic adequacy in depression. The symmetry of the waveforms about the midpoint on the EEG tracing was also predictive. Nobler's experiments confirmed these findings and found seizure duration had no impact as an EEG measure of treatment adequacy (59).

Seizure Charge. Total seizure charge (the calculated product of EEG voltage, seizure uniformity throughout the brain, and seizure duration) is hypothesized to be a measure of treatment intensity and efficacy (42,43,53). The 3 variables it includes may not be physiologically independent of one another, so that a longer seizure duration would not guarantee a greater result. Systematic controlled studies on this index are not evident in the literature.

In summary, EEG findings can be used to identify therapeutically active ECT seizures with a degree of accuracy and reliability. Seizure duration as measured by EEG has not been found to be one of these predictive indices in the studies reviewed.

Endocrine Measures

Several authors have demonstrated a series of hypothalamic-pituitary axis abnormalities in the acutely depressed patient (47,48,60–62).

Oxytocin. Scott and others found that measures of oxytocin release from the posterior pituitary correlated with HDRS-measured improvement in depression (49). Serum concentrations of oxytocin-associated neurophysin (OAN) were calculated before and after the first treatment in a course of ECT. The increase in OAN correlated strongly with improvement on the HDRS. This neurophysin response to ECT did not correlate with EEG-measured seizure duration. These results countered the hypothesis that patients exhibiting the highest increases in oxytocin might have done so as a result of longer duration.

Prolactin. Several authors have found that the surge of prolactin released by ECT may be an indicator of clinical improvement. Abrams and Swartz (45) found that seizure duration (53) was possibly related to the rise in prolactin. Contradictory findings from the same researchers, however, have yet to show a relationship between the magnitude of prolactin release and ECT benefits (9,47).

In conclusion, while there is considerable controversy over endocrine measures of ECT efficacy—the most rigorous study to date (49) has shown that hormone measures predict therapeutic response—this efficacy seems to be independent of seizure duration.

Medication Factors

Many medications have been tried as anesthetics and adjuvants in ECT. Though they may shorten seizure duration, some of these medications have an adverse effect on therapeutic adequacy (18–22,63–65).

Lidocaine. Lidocaine pretreatment (18,65) results in short seizure duration and poor efficacy of ECT in a dose-dependent fashion; it also causes less postictal voltage suppression. Lidocaine inhibits seizure dynamics by diminishing EEG spike activity, causing escapes of spike-wave complexes, and decreasing voltage amplitude (52). A distinct relationship between seizure duration and therapeutic efficacy was found in a lidocaine-pretreated group, but not in experimental controls (64). The patients least helped by ECT had the shortest seizure durations, but also received the most lidocaine (53).

Anesthesia. Another complication of granting seizure duration an important place in the prediction of adequate ECT is outlined by Fear and others (66), who studied the potential utility of propofol anesthesia in ECT. The American Psychiatric Association (APA) guidelines (4,67) do not consider propofol suitable for use in the induction process because it shortens seizure duration. In a well-designed prospective clinical trial, Fear treated patients with ECT using

propofol as an induction agent. Though a significant decrease in mean seizure duration was found compared with his control group using methohexitone induction (17.5 versus 25.5 seconds, respectively), both groups had the same endpoints on the Hamilton and Beck depression scales. Malsch's data (68) have shown that propofol- or methohexital-treated ECT patients ameliorate from depression at the same rate. Strengths of this latter study include researcher blinding and the avoidance of concurrent medications that might interfere with treatment. Propofol is well tolerated by patients, provides greater autonomic stability than methohexital, and is easy to use. An unjustified assumption about seizure duration may impede the use of this medication in ECT.

Benzodiazepines. Recent data implicate benzodiazepines as inhibitors of effective ECT based on their ability to decrease seizure duration (19–21). Benzodiazepines (BDZ) may inhibit ECT efficacy by 2 mechanisms: the raising of seizure threshold and the inhibition of seizure propagation (22). Greenberg, Pettinati, and their colleagues (20,22) reviewed all clinical studies relating BDZ effects to ECT efficacy and found that none satisfied basic research criteria to correlate decreased seizure duration and therapeutic effectiveness. This occurred because, *a priori*, research efforts were designed to prove benzodiazepines decreased ECT effectiveness. When this effect was proved, decreased seizure duration was invoked as a cause, regardless of whether it was statistically analyzed or not (22).

Pettinati and others (69) retrospectively found that bedtime benzodiazepine use hinders ECT response. Treatment was unilateral, however, and seizure duration was not analyzed. When the treatment-resistant patients were switched to bilateral ECT, they rapidly responded, implying that overcoming an increased seizure threshold rather than obtaining a sufficient length of treatment was more important therapeutically.

Strömngren's group (70) found that patients taking BDZ underwent a longer series of ECT treatments to achieve adequate therapeutic response. Seizure duration times were statistically less in the experimental group. Sedative drug doses, however, were not quantified. The study was retrospective, the patient diagnostic groups were poorly defined, and it is likely that sicker (more ECT-resistant) patients were taking the BDZ, although this could not be confirmed (22).

Caffeine. Caffeine predictably increases seizure duration with no measurable effect on threshold (69,71). It is recommended as an adjunctive medication by the APA task force on ECT (67). A recent prospective controlled study, however, did not find therapeutic benefit to the combination (72). Further, Rosenquist and colleagues found no benefit of caffeine pretreatment on measures of seizure efficacy such as EEG voltage suppression or seizure regularity (73).

In summary, a large body of evidence from 4 classes of medication demonstrates that the manipulation of seizure duration alone does not alter the efficacy of treatment. Those medications that decrease the therapeutic benefits of ECT appear to do so by inhibiting the adequate initiation and propagation of the seizure.

Discussion

We have reviewed studies for the literature examining the relationship between seizure duration and ECT clinical outcome. Most investigations focus on the treatment of unipolar depression in hospitalized patients and parameters related to treatment success. Studies that document a relationship between duration and outcome are few and are fraught with major design flaws such as selection bias, uncontrolled medication use, and an inability to distinguish between seizure time and number of treatments (4,17,46,52,53).

No prospective controlled study reviewed found a relationship between longer duration and faster or more favourable outcome in depression. Seizures of less than 15 seconds are associated with postictal autonomic complications and poor clinical results (17,18), but there is little evidence that a length of convulsion greater than 20 seconds is necessary. In a few studies, ECT with propofol anesthesia achieved average durations of well below 20 seconds and had outcome equivalent to controls (66,68). While a shorter seizure *can* signal decreased efficacy when drugs such as lidocaine or benzodiazepines are used, the duration itself does not appear to be the responsible physiological factor (52).

Given these findings, the guidelines concerning ECT seizure length appear to exaggerate the required seizure duration. The APA task force (67) advocates seizure lengths greater than 20 seconds and encourages the termination of seizures of 3 minutes or more. Given the medical and cognitive complications of not terminating seizures earlier (34,74,75) and the questionable benefit of allowing them to continue for that long, the clinical rules about ECT treatment duration could be rethought. Revising guidelines to decrease optimal and maximal seizure times would decrease cognitive and medical complications (34). Similarly, the practice of avoiding or withholding potentially helpful medications because they shorten seizure duration should be reconsidered.

Further clinical research in this field requires the selection of more homogeneous study populations and the use of statistical techniques to measure and filter confounding variables. Results obtained should be correlated with reliable efficacy markers: the stimulus intensity, EEG morphology, and postictal voltage suppression, for example. A number of new sedative and inductive anesthetic agents can be used to manipulate seizure threshold or duration in order to verify what constitutes safe, effective ECT practice. Lastly, research is needed on the basic neurophysiology of seizure initiation and propagation.

Clinical Implications

- No rigorous clinical studies support the contention that longer ECT seizures are more efficacious.
- Longer seizures exacerbate the cognitive and medical comorbidity of the treatment.
- Medications that shorten ECT seizures do not invariably alter efficacy.

Limitations

- The number of well-conducted clinical studies in this field is small.
- The optimal minimum seizure duration for adequate treatment response has yet to be elucidated.
- Some ECT practice guidelines appear arbitrary or exaggerated.

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank Dr J Paris for his assistance with the manuscript.

References

1. Fink M. Impact of the anti-psychiatry movement on the revival of electroconvulsive therapy in the United States. *Psychiatr Clin North Am* 1991;14:793-801.
2. Devanand DP, Dwork AJ, Hutchison ER, and others. Does ECT alter brain structure? *Am J Psychiatry* 1994;151:957-70.
3. Fink M. The next challenge: the mode of action of ECT. *Convuls Ther* 1993;9:192-7.
4. American Psychiatric Association. Electroconvulsive therapy: task force report 14. Washington (DC): American Psychiatric Association; 1978.
5. Mukherjee S. Mechanisms of the antimanic effect of electroconvulsive therapy. *Convuls Ther* 1989;5:337-43.
6. Ottosson JO. Experimental studies of the mode of action of electroconvulsive therapy. *Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl* 1960;145:1-141.
7. Sackeim HA. Mechanisms of action of electroconvulsive therapy. In: Hales RE, Frances J, editors. Volume 7, Annual review of psychiatry. Washington: American Psychiatric Press; 1988.
8. Sackeim HA. Mechanisms of action. *Convuls Ther* 1989;6:207-310.
9. Sackeim HA, Mukherjee S. Neurophysiological variability in the effects of the ECT stimulus. *Convuls Ther* 1986;2:267-76.
10. Krystal AD, Weiner RD. ECT seizure therapeutic adequacy. *Convuls Ther* 1994;19:153-64.
11. Meduna IJ. Autobiography of IJ Meduna (Part 2). *Convuls Ther* 1985;1:43-57.
12. Kalinowsky L, Barrera E. Electric convulsion therapy in mental disorders. *Psychiatr Q* 1940;14:719-30.
13. Kalinowsky LB, Hoch PH. Shock treatments and other somatic procedures in psychiatry. New York: Grune & Stratton; 1946.
14. Cerletti U. Electroschock therapy. In: Sackler AM, Sackler MD, Sackler RR, Marti-Ibanez F, editors. The great physiodynamic therapies in psychiatry. New York: Hoeber-Harper; 1956.
15. Androp S. Electric shock therapy in the psychoses: convulsive and subconvulsive methods. *Psychiatr Q* 1941;16:730-49.
16. Lowenbach II. Electric shock treatment of mental disorders. *N C Med J* 1943;4:123-8.
17. Sackeim HA, Devanand DP, Prudic J. Stimulus intensity, seizure threshold, and seizure duration: impact on the efficacy and safety of electroconvulsive therapy. *Psychiatr Clin North Am* 1991;14:803-43.
18. Ottosson JO. Effect of lidocaine on the seizure discharge in electroconvulsive therapy. *Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl* 1960;145:732.
19. Standish-Barry HM, Deacon V, Snaith RP. The relationship of concurrent benzodiazepine administration to seizure duration in ECT. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1985;71:269-71.
20. Pettinati HM, Willis KW, Nilsen SM, and others. Benzodiazepines reduce ECT's therapeutic effect [abstract]. In: Abstracts of the 140th annual meeting of the American Psychiatric Association; 1987 May 7-13.
21. Bowen RC. The effect of diazepam on endogenously depressed patients. *J Clin Pharmacol* 1978;18:280-4.
22. Greenberg RM, Pettinati HM. Benzodiazepines and electroconvulsive therapy. *Convuls Ther* 1993;9:262-73.
23. Maletzky BM. Seizure duration and clinical effect in electroconvulsive therapy. *Compr Psychiatry* 1978;19:541-80.
24. Zorumski CF, Burke WJ, Rutherford JL, and others. ECT: clinical variables, seizure duration and outcome. *Convuls Ther* 1986;2:109-19.
25. Abrams RA. Hypothesis to explain divergent findings among studies comparing the efficacy of unilateral and bilateral ECT in depression. *Convuls Ther* 1986;2:253-7.
26. Abrams R, Fink M. Clinical experience with multiple electroconvulsive treatments. *Compr Psychiatry* 1972;13:115-21.
27. Blachly PH, Gowing D. Multiple monitored electroconvulsive treatment. *Compr Psychiatry* 1966;7:100-9.
28. Cromholm B, Ottosson JO. Ultrabrief stimulus technique in electroconvulsive therapy II: comparative studies on therapeutic effects and memory disturbances in treatment of endogenous depression with the Elther ES electroshock apparatus and the Siemens Konvulsator III. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 1963;137:268-76.
29. d'Elia G, Ottosson JO, Strömberg L. Present practice of electroconvulsive therapy in Scandinavia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1953;40:577-81.
30. d'Elia G, Perris C. Comparison of electroconvulsive therapy with unilateral and bilateral stimulation. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1970;215:9-29.
31. d'Elia G, Raotma H. Is unilateral ECT less effective than bilateral ECT? *Br J Psychiatry* 1975;126:83-9.
32. Fink M. Missed seizures and the bilateral-unilateral electroconvulsive therapy controversy. *Am J Psychiatry* 1983;140:198-9.
33. Heshe J, Roder E, Theilgaard A. Unilateral and bilateral ECT: a psychiatric and psychological study of therapeutic effect and side effects. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1978;275(Suppl):1S-180S.
34. Miller AL, Faber RA, Hatch JP, and others. Factors affecting amnesia, seizure duration, efficacy in ECT. *Am J Psychiatry* 1985;142:692-6.
35. Ottosson JO. Is unilateral nondominant ECT as efficient as bilateral ECT? a new look at the evidence. *Convuls Ther* 1991;7:190-200.
36. Overall JI, Rhoades HM. A comment on the efficacy of unilateral versus bilateral ECT. *Convuls Ther* 1986;3:245-51.
37. Pettinati HM, Nilsen S. Missed and brief seizures during ECT: differential response between unilateral and bilateral electrode placement. *Biol Psychiatry* 1985;20:506-14.
38. Sackeim HA. Optimizing unilateral electroconvulsive therapy. *Convuls Ther* 1991;7:201-12.
39. Sackeim HA, Decina P, Kanzler M, and others. Effects of electrode placement on the efficacy of titrated, low-dose ECT. *Am J Psychiatry* 1987;144:1449-55.
40. Sackeim HA, Decina P, Portnoy S, and others. Studies of dosage, seizure threshold, and seizure duration in ECT. *Biol Psychiatry* 1987;22:249-68.
41. Weiner RD, Coffey CE. Minimizing therapeutic differences between bilateral and unilateral nondominant ECT. *Convuls Therapy* 1986;2:261-5.

42. Enderle JD, Staton JD, Gerst J, and others. The electroencephalographic pattern during electroconvulsive therapy III: analysis of frontotemporal and nasopharyngeal spectral energy. *Clin Electroencephalogr* 1986;17:66-77.
43. Krystal AD, Weiner RD, McCall WW, and others. The effects of ECT stimulus dose and electrode placement on the ictal electroencephalogram: an intraindividual crossover study. *Biol Psychiatry* 1993;34:759-67.
44. Kirstein I, Ottosson JO. Experimental studies of the electroencephalographic changes following electroconvulsive therapy. *Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl* 1960;145:49-68.
45. Abrams R, Swartz CM. Electroconvulsive therapy and prolactin release: relation to treatment response in melancholia. *Convuls Ther* 1985;1:38-42.
46. Swartz CM. Beyond seizure duration as a measure of treatment quality. *Convuls Ther* 1993;9:1-7.
47. Abrams R, Swartz CM. Electroconvulsive therapy and prolactin release: effects of stimulus parameters. *Convuls Ther* 1985;1:1159.
48. Whalley IJ, Dick H, Watts AG, and others. Immediate increases in plasma prolactin and neurophysin but not other hormones after electroconvulsive therapy. *Lancet* 1982;1064-8.
49. Scott AIF, Whalley LJ, Legros J-J. Treatment outcome, seizure duration, and the neurophysin response to ECT. *Biol Psychiatry* 1989;25:585-97.
50. Sackeim HA, Prudic J, Devanand DP, and others. Effects of stimulus intensity and electrode placement on the efficacy and cognitive effects electroconvulsive therapy. *N Engl J Med* 1993;328:839-46.
51. Sackeim HA, Decina P, Prohovnik I, and others. Dosage, seizure threshold, and the antidepressant efficacy of electroconvulsive therapy. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1986;462:398-410.
52. Fink M. What is an adequate treatment in convulsive therapy? *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1991;84:424-7.
53. Fink M. An adequate treatment? *Convuls Ther* 1989;5:314-3.
54. Abrams R, Volavka J, Fink M. EEG seizure patterns during multiple unilateral and bilateral ECT. *Compr Psychiatry* 1973;14:258.
55. Robin A, de Tissera S. A double-blind controlled comparison of the therapeutic effects of low and high energy electroconvulsive therapies. *Br J Psychiatry* 1982;141:357-66.
56. Green AR, Butt D, Cowen P. Increased seizure threshold following convulsion. In Sandler M, editor. *Psychopharmacology of anti-convulsants*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1982.
57. Post RM, Putnam F, Uhde TW, and others. Electroconvulsive therapy as an anticonvulsant: implications for its mechanism of action in affective illness. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1986;462:376-88.
58. Krystal AD, Weiner RD, Coffey CE, and others. EEG evidence of more "intense" seizure activity with bilateral ECT. *Biol Psychiatry* 1992;31:617-21.
59. Nobler MS, Sackeim HA, Solomon M, and others. EEG manifestations during ECT: effects of electrode placement and stimulus intensity. *Biol Psychiatry* 1993;34:321-30.
60. Fink M, Nemeroff CB. A neuroendocrine view of ECT. *Convuls Ther* 1989;5:269-304.
61. Fink M, Ottosson JO. A theory of convulsive therapy in endogenous depression: significance of hypothalamic function. *Psychiatry Res* 1980;2:49-61.
62. Lerer B. Neurochemical and other neurobiological consequences of ECT: implications for the pathogenesis and treatment of affective disorders. In: Meltzer HY, editor. *Psychopharmacology: the third generation of progress*. New York: Raven Press; 1987.
63. Cohen SJ, Lawton C. Do benzodiazepines interfere with the action of electroconvulsive therapy? *Br J Psychiatry* 1992;160:545-6.
64. Green AR. Do benzodiazepines interfere with the action of electroconvulsive therapy? *Br J Psychiatry* 1992;161:129-30.
65. Ottosson JO. Seizure characteristics and therapeutic efficiency in electroconvulsive therapy: an analysis of the antidepressive efficiency of grand mal and lidocaine-modified seizures. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 1962;135:239-51.
66. Fear CF, Littlejohns CS, Rouse E, and others. Propofol anaesthesia in electroconvulsive therapy. *Br J Psychiatry* 1994;165:506-9.
67. American Psychiatric Association. *The practice of electroconvulsive therapy: recommendations for treatment, training and privileging: a task force report*. Washington (DC): American Psychiatric Association Press; 1990.
68. Malsch E, Gratz I, Mani S, and others. Efficacy of electroconvulsive therapy after propofol and pethoxetital anesthesia. *Convuls Ther* 1994;10:212-9.
69. Pettinati HM, Stephens SM, Willis KM, and others. Evidence for less improvement in depression in patients taking benzodiazepines during unilateral ECT. *Am J Psychiatry* 1990;147:1029-35.
70. Strömberg LS, Dal J, Fjeldberg N, and others. Factors influencing seizure duration and number of seizures applied in unilateral electroconvulsive therapy. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1980;62:158-65.
71. McCall WV, Reid S, Rosenquist P, and others. A reappraisal of the role of caffeine in ECT. *Am J Psychiatry* 1993;150:1543-45.
72. Coffey DE, Figiel GS, Weiner RD, and others. Caffeine augmentation of ECT. *Am J Psychiatry* 1990;147:579-85.
73. Rosenquist PB, McCall WV, Farah A, and others. Effects of caffeine pretreatment on measures of seizure impact. *Convuls Ther* 1994;10:181-5.
74. Daniel WF, Crovitz HF. Acute memory impairment following electroconvulsive therapy, I: effects of electrical stimulus waveform and number of treatments. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1983;67:47.
75. Daniel WF, Crovitz HF. Recovery of orientation after electroconvulsive therapy. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1982;66:421-44.

Résumé

Objectif : *Analyse critique des données qui font le lien entre la durée des convulsions dans le traitement aux électrochocs et leur effet thérapeutique contre la dépression.*

Méthode : *Les auteurs ont utilisé MEDLINE, PSYCHINFO sur CD-ROM et leurs propres connaissances de la littérature afin de repérer des études ou des comptes rendus sur le rôle de la durée des convulsions dans l'efficacité des électrochocs.*

Résultats : *Des études rigoureuses mettent en doute l'utilité de la durée des convulsions en tant que signe clinique. Certains médicaments, qui réduisent la durée des convulsions, ont des effets néfastes sur le traitement, mais ils influent aussi sur d'autres paramètres des convulsions. Plusieurs médicaments réduisent les convulsions de façon spectaculaire, mais leur incidence est nulle sur l'efficacité du traitement.*

Conclusion : *Les directives sur la durée des convulsions provoquées par électrochocs sont arbitraires, ce qui laisse présager une durée excessive du traitement aux électrochocs.*